Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/857,552	BURG ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Monica A Fontaine	1732
All Participants:	Status of Application	:
(1) <u>Monica A Fontaine</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Heather Barnes</u> .	(4)	,
Date of Interview: 2 November 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a		,
Claims discussed: 9 and 10		
Prior art documents discussed: McKevley et al. (US 4173445)		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT V	VAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.	•	
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar 	e examiner will provide a w	ritten summary of the substance
Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative	Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called Ms. Barnes in an effort to speed prosecution by proposing an examiner's amendment. The prior art document McKevley et al., found by the examiner while she was searching the claims (amended in paper filed 24 August 2004), would be eliminated as prior art if the subject matter of claim 10, specifically "prevent[ing] expansion of volatiles", was incorporated into the independent claim 9. (McKevley et al. allows expansion of volatiles, while the applicant's invention prevents such an event.) Ms. Barnes reviewed the document and gve permission for the subject matter of claim 10 to be incorporated into claim 9 by examiner's amendment. In order to place the application in condition for allowance, the examiner will make such an amendment, as well as correct the claim dependencies of claims 5 and 11. Claim 11 will become dependent upon Claim 9, and Claim 5 will also become dependent upon Claim 9.